

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

TEAM WORLDWIDE CORPORATION,	§	
	§	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	§	
	§	
v.	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00092-JRG-RSP
	§	(LEAD CASE)
ACADEMY, LTD D/B/A ACADEMY	§	
SPORTS + OUTDOORS,	§	
	§	
ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION,	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00093-JRG-RSP
	§	
AMAZON.COM, INC., AMAZON.COM	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00094-JRG-RSP
LLC,	§	
	§	
BED BATH & BEYOND INC.,	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00095-JRG-RSP
	§	
COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00096-JRG-RSP
	§	
DICK’S SPORTING GOODS, INC.,	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00097-JRG-RSP
	§	
HOME DEPOT PRODUCT AUTHORITY,	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00098-JRG-RSP
LLC, HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.,	§	
	§	
MACY’S RETAIL HOLDINGS, INC.,	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00099-JRG-RSP
MACY’S.COM, LLC,	§	
	§	
TARGET CORPORATION,	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:19-CV-00100-JRG-RSP
	§	
SEARS ROEBUCK AND CO., SEARS	§	CIV. A. NO. 2:20-CV-00006-JRG-RSP
HOLDINGS CORPORATION,	§	(MEMBER CASES)
TRANSFORM SR LLC, AND	§	
TRANSFORM KM LLC,	§	
	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

ORDER


Before the Court is the Unopposed Motion for Leave to File Reply and Surreply Regarding Defendants’ Objections to Pre-Admission of PTX-COM-240, -241, -302 (the “Motion”) filed by Defendants Academy, Ltd. d/b/a Academy Sports + Outdoors, Ace Hardware Corporation,

Amazon.com, Inc., Amazon.com LLC, Bed Bath & Beyond Inc., Home Depot Product Authority, LLC, Macy's Retail Holdings, Inc., Macy's.com, LLC, Target Corporation, Sears Roebuck and Co., Sears Holdings Corp., Transform SR LLC, and Transform KM LLC (collectively, "Defendants"). (Dkt. No. 450). In the Motion, Defendants seek permission to file a three-page reply brief in support of their Objections to Pre-Admission of PTX-COM-240, -241, and -302 (Dkt. No. 414) in light of a subsequent Order issued by Magistrate Judge Payne regarding relevant Motions *in Limine* (Dkt. No. 439). (*See id.*). Plaintiff Team Worldwide Corporation ("Plaintiff") does not oppose the Motion on the condition that it be permitted to file a sur-reply brief of equal length by June 11, 2021. (*Id.* at 2).

Having considered the Motion, and in light of its unopposed nature, the Court finds that it should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. It is **ORDERED** that Defendants are permitted to file a reply brief of no more than **three (3) pages** in support of their Objections to Pre-Admission of PTX-COM-240, -241, and -302. It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff is permitted to file a sur-reply brief of no more than **three (3) pages** by or before **June 11, 2021**.

So Ordered this

Jun 8, 2021



RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE